Little Fork River Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan

Formal Review: Comment Response Table

DRAFT for Advisory Committee Review

#	Commentor	Section	Page	Comment	Material	Editorial	Note	Change Made (Y/N)	Comment Response/Action
1	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	1	Needs page # on page 1		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
2	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	1	Change sentence for clarity: "The watershed drains close to 1.2 million acres, and the watershed is sparsley populated with only two cities with over 500 residents."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
3	Koochiching SWCD		1	Change sentence for clarity: " … several wild rice waterbodies--important cultural resources for the Bois Forte Band of Chippewa."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
4	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	1	Typo: Lay the ground work should be "lay the **groundwork**"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
5	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	1	The graphic at the bottom: is the text the mission statement? If so, it may be nice to add "Little Fork River Watershed **Mission Statement**: …" to add context to this sentence		x		Y	Vision statement, will add that to text
6	DNR	Section 1	1	The second paragraph lists the "important resources" but does not include forest or mineral resources. Suggest adding these as important natural resources including minerals, forests, wetlands, peatlands, lakes, and streams.	x			Y	Revised as recommended.
7	BWSR	Section 1	2	The official name is the Boise Forte Band of Chippewa--Seems like we should use it on this map and elsewhere. Also, since the Deer Creek reservation is on the map, it should probably have a label--I know it's not in the watershed.	x			Map	Revised as recommended.
8	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	3	Edit sentence: "Each committee operated independently **within the framework to provide specialized** expertise that helped …"		x		y	Revised as recommended.
9	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	3	" … other uses for the people~~, residents~~ or wildlife … " if it includes people, "residents" is redundant		x		N	Important to note that people use the watershed that are not residents, and residents should be mentioned as their own entity.
10	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	3	Figure 1.3. The other parts of the figure include a verb, therefore, I suggest that 2 is changed to "**Developing** Resource Categories" or utilize a similar verb ex. **creating**		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
11	BWSR	Section 1	4	"This resource categories-The…or These?		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
12	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	4	Table 1.2. ---> Remove extra "and", add comma: "Eroding gullies and streambanks contribute to turbidity**,** ~~and~~ TSS impairments, and reduced habitat quality."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
13	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	4	Table 1.2. Restructure sentence ---> "Nutrients have the potential to negatively impact water quality, aquatic recreation and aquatic life"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
14	BWSR	Section 1 - wild rice health	4	climate change? If that's too pointed maybe-climate variability or"prevalence of extreme weather"	x			Y	See Comment #41
15	BWSR	Section 1	5	LT Goal-No net change in average annual discharge	x			Y	Revised as recommended.
16	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	5	Table 1.2. Add lakes? ---> " … bacteria in **lakes**, streams, and groundwater."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
17	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	5	Table 1.3. ---> remove &: "1 feasability study for sediment reduction ~~&"~~		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
18	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	6	Add **EPA** before "319 Funds" to clarify for the general audience where this funding comes from		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
19	Koochiching SWCD	Section 1	7	Typo: Figure 1.4 - "Raingardens" should be "**Rain gardens**"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
20	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	9	The watershed consists of 3 counties ---> "The watershed **spans** 3 counties: …"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
21	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2 & Throughout	9	Figure 2.1. - inconsistent punctuation for figures and graphics, either include period at the end of last number or remove for all figures and graphics (ex. Figure 2.1. or Figure 2.1)		x		Y	Checked throughout document
22		Section 2	10	The Nett Lake sector is ~~the~~ home to the		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
23	1854 Treaty Authority	Section 2	10	Part of this watershed is within the 1854 Ceded Territory where treaty rights have been retained and continue to be exercised. Preserving and protecting resources are important to the exercise of these rights guaranteed by treaty with the United States. I don't see mention of this ceded territory or treaty rights, and perhaps some inclusion in the document would be good.		x		Y	Narrative added as recommended.
24	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	10	Remove with and replace with through "sustaining life **through** hunting, …"		x		Y	Reworked sentence.
25	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	10	Remove repeated sentence in the paragraph or in the infographic: "The Little Fork River served as a means to transport harvested logs to the Rainy River."		x		Y	Removed sentence from body text.

#	Commentor	Section	Page	Comment	Material	Editorial	Note	Change Made (Y/N)	Comment Response/Action
26	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	10	"Farming does occur in the ~~lower~~ **southern** portions of the watershed, …" ? OR ".. does occur primarily in southern portions …" - fair amount of ag near Littlefork and near Cook		x		Y	Reworked sentence.
27	BWSR	Section 2	11	Similar instead of Similarly?		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
28	BWSR	Section 2	11	Boise Forte Name		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
29	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	12	If the data is from 2021, consider changing sentence: "the LFRW ~~currently receives~~ **more recently has received** on average an additional …"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
30	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	12	Maybe peatlands do not have traditional economic productivity like farming or forestry, but they support economic activities through vital ecosystem services. I suggest including a source for this sentence if it is kept. ---> "However, they [peatlands] are considered unproductive economically."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
31	BWSR	Section 2	13	E. Summary calls out Cook and Littlefork as above 500 population. Map includes at least parts of Hibbing and Mountain Iron--Or are they outside the watershed?	x			N	Outside watershed.
32	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	14	Typos: "while most ~~water courses~~ **watercourses** are natural … of the ~~water courses~~ **watercourses** ..."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
33	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	14-15/25	No discussion regarding the biochemical conductivity disturbances of mining in the water resources section or mining section? Conductivity noted in the WRAPS: "... elevated specific conductivity (high ionic concentration) ... indicating the presence and concentration of dissolved ions. There is a taconite mine tailings basin that is adjacent to Timber Creek …"	x			Flag	Flagged for Advisory Committee discussion
34	DNR	Section 2	15	The document states, "increasing trends in nitrates and decreasing trends in phosphorus are expected due to change in export following logging events." Forests could be in different age classes or recently harvested. Forestry practices have improved over time and lessened the impact from harvested sites. Additionally, nutrient loading also comes from bank and gully erosion. Those rates are tied to flows, not only overall average precipitation, but magnitude, timing and duration of floods.		x		Y	Narrative added as recommended.
35	1854 Treaty Authority	Section 2	15	Wild rice waters in the watershed are important to be recognized. The map indicating wild rice waters is a bit hard to see in detail, but if the most current MPCA list was consulted things are probably covered. We would just want to be sure that wild rice waters are identified clearly when needed.		x		Y	Map confirmed wild rice waters are present.
36	1854 Treaty Authority	Section 2	15	Big Rice Lake is an important wild rice lake and natural/cultural resource to the Bois Forte Band and other bands. The plan does mention Big Rice Lake as lake of outstanding biodiversity. Maybe this lake could be highlighted a bit more, probably worth a mention and added as a lake to protect in the strategies/lake prioritization process.		x		Flag	Flagged for Advisory Committee discussion
37	Koochiching SWCD	Section 2	17	Mean vs. median age: if used as a comparison, I'd think that they should probably be the same unit of measurement--both mean or both median.		x		Y	Changed to median, typo
38	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	19	" … other uses for the people~~, residents~~ or wildlife … " if it includes people, "residents" is redundant		x		N	Important to note that people use the watershed that are not residents, and residents should be mentioned as their own entity.
39	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	21	Add semicolon: "The four topic meeting categories were**;** Lakes & Streams, …"		x		N	Semicolon not grammatically correct.
40	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	21	Please provide the typed-out URL for the project website link, whereas the paper copies cannot access the project website.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
41	BWSR	Section 3 - wild rice health	22	climate change? If that's too pointed maybe-climate variability or"prevalence of extreme weather"	x			Flag	Proposed revised issue statement "Wild rice health faces risks from development, climate variability, pollution, and invasive species."
42	BWSR	Section 3 - forest health	22	should wq, and maybe water quantity be listed?	x			Flag	Proposed revised issue statement "Managing forest health is vital to sustaining economic, ecological, water quality, water quantity, and recreational benefits."
43	BWSR	Section 3 - wetland and peatland health	22	climate change? If that's too pointed maybe-climate variability or"prevalence of extreme weather"	x			Flag	Proposed revised issue statement "Wetland and peatland health is impacted by invasive species, climate variability, ditching, and wildfire effects.."
44	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	22	Table 3.2. ---> Remove extra "and", add comma: "Eroding gullies and streambanks contribute to turbidity**,** ~~and~~ TSS impairments, and reduced habitat quality."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
45	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	22	Table 1.2. Restructure sentence ---> "Nutrients have the potential to negatively impact water quality, aquatic recreation and aquatic life"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
46	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	22	Table 1.2. Add lakes? ---> " … bacteria in **lakes**, streams, and groundwater."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.

#	Commentor	Section	Page	Comment	Material	Editorial	Note	Change Made (Y/N)	Comment Response/Action
47	DNR	Section 3 (Table 3.2)	22	Altered hydrology can also refer to changes in land use or direct impacts on volume or timing of water movement (dams). While extremely undersized culvers can affect flows, they can also have an effect on connectivity for sediment transport (stability) and aquatic organism passage. While ditching and channelization in wetlands affects hydrology, channelization of existing streams generally is viewed as having a greater impact on habitat quality. May want to consider separate issue names for aquatic connectivity, aquatic habitat quality and altered hydrology.		x		Flag	Proposed revised issue statement: "Altered hydrology from culverts, ditching, and channelization has impacted volume and timing of water movement, stream flow, aquatic organism passage, and aquatic habitat."
48	DNR	Section 3 (Table 3.2)	22	Eroding gullies and streambanks could also include channel instability as this is connected to habitat, sediment, and nutrients.		x		N	Correct, many issues impact each other.
49	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	23	Include brief discussion regarding Spruce Budworm if it is included in Figure 3.2. ?		x		Y	Added.
50	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	24	Add comma after restoration: "… livestock BMPs, as well as land protection and peatland restoration**,** will have positive carbon storage impacts ..."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
51	DNR	Section 3. (Climate Variability and Resilience)	24	Climate resilience could include discussion on channel stability, mainly floodplain connectivity. Streams that are well connected to their floodplains tend to withstand floods better than those incised. Reconnecting floodplains where feasible should be considered as a primary objective.		x		Y	Narrative added as recommended.
52	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	25	Add period after citation: "Naturally occurring arsenic concentrations have also been found in all three counties (MDH, 2025)**.** The …"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
53	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	25	Remove and, activity to activities: "impacts from mining **activities**, including altered hydrology, runoff, ~~and~~ groundwater seepage from tailings management areas, soil disturbance, …"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
54	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	25	(MPCA, 2025) regarding demolition landfills has no citation in the references section		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
55	Koochiching SWCD	Section 3	25	"This would require longer ~~haul~~ **hauling** distance ..."		x		N	Haul is the correct technical term here.
56	DNR	Section 3 (Mining)	25	The paragraph states "Mining activities have led to impairments for sulfate in the watershed, which is currently being addressed through permitting requirements adjacent to the Sturgeon River (MPCA)." While a portion of the Sturgeon River has been listed as impaired for sulfate, no stressor identification study has been completed to identify the causes of this impairment, and a portion of the impaired reach is not downstream from any mining operation. Additionally, no mining areas are "adjacent to the Sturgeon River". Recommend changing text to state: "Mining activities may contribute to impairments for sulfate in the watershed, which is currently being addressed through MPCA permitting requirements."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
57	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	28	Typo and change to undercase letters for consistency with the other implementation programs in the same sentence: "**d**ata ~~Collect~~ **collection** and **o**utreach"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
58	BWSR	Section 4	28	Counties capitalized here--lower case elsewhere (when listing partners in the executive summary for example). Both could be correct, but should be consistent within the document.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
59	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	28	"Counties" and "Cities" should not be capitalized as they are common nouns in this sentence.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
60	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	28	"Other Funding" ---> "Other **f**unding"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
61	DNR	Section 4 (Constructed Environmental Enhancements)	28	Instead of shoreland stabilization, a broader goal of channel stability may be a better overall approach for streams and gullies.		x		Flag	For AC Discussion: Intent to add a channel stability goal? Currently focused on feasibility work.
62	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	29	Typo and remove period at end of bulletpoint for consistency: "Effectiveness of outreach and education events, as well as research ~~initiate.~~ **initiatives**"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
63	DNR	Section 4	30	In the last paragraph the document states, “For Streams, cisco/trout streams will be prioritized…” Cisco do not live in streams. Was it meant to state lakes and streams?		x		Y	Revised to lakes as recommended.
64	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	30	Adjust sentence: "… maintaining well protected resources in Northern Minnesota**, which** ~~and~~ can be found in the CWMPs for neighboring watersheds…"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
65	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	30	If dark lake is one of the 91 lakes >75% protected, why is it impaired? This paragraph overall was a bit difficult for me to understand, maybe consider placing appendix D in the section rather than in the Appendices?		x		Y	More explanation added to text. Impaired is regardless of protection

#	Commentor	Section	Page	Comment	Material	Editorial	Note	Change Made (Y/N)	Comment Response/Action
66	DNR	Section 4 (Resource Prioritization)	30	A recent 2026 statewide evaluation of clean water implementation projects by DNR and BWSR staff found that water clarity increases were about 2 times more likely in lakes that exceeded a 5% phosphorus load reduction compared to lakes that did not. Water clarity increases were also more likely in deep lakes and in lakes where higher (i.e., 15%) phosphorus load reductions occurred. DNR can provide additional information regarding this evaluation and how it can improve efforts in the Littlefork Watershed.		x		Y	Comment noted with thanks. Narrative added.
67	DNR	Section 4 (Resource Prioritization)	30	The WHAF tool is a valuable assessment approach to help understand watersheds as interconnected systems. Consider using the Explorer, Lakes and Land Cover applications, as well as other products, while working toward prioritization and meeting watershed management goals.			x	N	Comment noted with thanks.
68	BWSR	Section 4	30	As a strategy should vigilance - be "Maintain currently protected lands and water		x		Y	Added to strategy row of Figure 4.2
69	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	30/31	These pages are a bit confusing--why are lakes and streams just introduced briefly and there is no figure for identified priority streams here? Why is the resource priorizitation section placed where it is?		x		Y	Added some clarity. Priority streams are provided in Figure 4.6. The reason this goes first before the sections is to help introduce the action tables, which include priority areas.
70	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	31	Typo in Figure 4.3: "Midde/West Sturgeon" ---> **Middle**/West Sturgeon?		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
71	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	31	Typo in Figure 4.3: Napoleon and Raddison lakes are flipped. Raddison is to the NW of Napoleon		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
72	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	31	The picture by Whitney is of the LF River in a section mostly focused on lakes?		x		Y	Swapped out photo.
73	BWSR	Section 4	34	Use the term "alternative water sources" not systems		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
74	BWSR	Section 4	35	No net change in average annual discharge??		x		Y	DFC revised to "No net change in average annual discharge"
75	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	35	Add comma after LFRW "… as well as the economic and recreational resources of the LFRW**,** will be …"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
76	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	37	Remove Minnesota Department of Transportation from the Enhance Peatland & Wetland Connectivity, as it is already cited by the acronym above (Enhance stream connectivity) without being written out in full		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
77	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	38	"US" is not included in acronym list, maybe spell it out here?		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
78	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	38	Would lakes be a resource for this goal in addition to streams if lakesore alteration is an issue to be addressed?		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
79	BWSR	Section 4	39	Consider adding the Nett Lake outlet (straightened section) to map since it is identified as a specific project.		x		Map	Revised as recommended.
80	DNR	Section 4 (Goal: Hydrologic Connectivity and Storage)	40	For the stream channel restoration action, suggest adding DNR.		x		Y	DNR added as implementation role
81	BWSR	Section 4	41	Water quality should be in the benefits list		x		Y	Water quality added to narrative of benefits
82	DNR	Section 4 (Goal: Forest Management)	41	The second paragraph states, "The Little Fork Landscape Stewardship Plan (LSP) has already set goals and prioritized key areas for implementation of forest management plans and areas for protection (Figure 4.7)." What types of protection are planned?		x		N	See LSP
83	BWSR	Section 4	43	Remove WBIF from the funding column for Noxious Weeds and Terrestrial Invasive Species Management.	x			Y	Revised as recommended.
84	BWSR	Section 4	43	WBIF is for water quality. If you list it in the funding column, there should be a wq reference in the action column.	x			Y	Additional narrative added to goal introduction to summarize water quality benefits of FSPs, Forest Health Management, and Small Parcel Management.
85	BWSR	Section 4	44	By testing existing and sealing unused wells, as well as replacing failing septic systems, the risks of contaminated private drinking water can be signigicantly reducing risk. - suggest rewording for clarity		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
86	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	44	Typo: "… the risks of contaminated private drinking water can be significantly ~~reducing risk~~ **reduced**"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
87	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	44	Add **and**, remove comma: "Wellhead protection plans, educational events, **and** private well testing~~,~~ will be important in reaching …"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
88	DNR	Section 4 (Goal: Drinking Water Protection)	44	The first paragraph states, "By testing existing and sealing unused wells, as well as replacing failing septic systems, the risks of contaminated private drinking water can be significantly reducing risk." The end of this sentence could be changed to: "…can be significantly reduced." The following sentence is also missing "and" in the list of strategies.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.

#	Commentor	Section	Page	Comment	Material	Editorial	Note	Change Made (Y/N)	Comment Response/Action
89	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4/References	44/References p. 2	I do not see the citation for (MDH, 2026) discussing ground and surface waters in the references section.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
90	BWSR	Section 4	45	Should EJ areas be added for priority areas? Discussion item for steering team.	x			Flag	For AC Discussion on Figure 4.8: Drinking Water Protection Targeting Map
91	BWSR	Section 4	45	two colors on map legend not used--moderate density?	x			Map	Legend revised as recommended
92	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	45	In Figure 4.8. there are missing key markers for the orange and yellow colors. May be nice to clarify what range they entail rather than leave blank and assume the reader understands the scale.	x			Map	Legend revised as recommended
93	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	46	Well Screening is set to biannual-for our population this should be once per year instead as it is in the Big Fork 1W1P, or, "up to two times per year".		x		Flag	Revised to annual- would AC like to adjust costs?
94	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	46	There are two overlapping logos on the action table in the top right corner		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
95	BWSR	Section 4	46	Should well seal abandonded wells be a waterhsed wide priority?		x		Y	Revised to watershed-wide
96	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	47	Typo: " …long-term road construction plans between counties ~~provide~~ **provides** opportunities for projects"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
97	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	47	Suggestion to remove comma and add emdash: "It will also address all priority stormwater and flooding concerns--important for ensuring a resilient watershed."		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
98	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	48	In Figure 4.9. there are no descriptions for the 3 of the key's priority area aspects. May be nice to clarify what range they entail rather than leave blank and assume the reader understands the scale.		x		Map	Legend revised as recommended
99	Koochiching SWCD	Section 4	49	HSEM referenced in the emergency response plans action is not included in the acronym table		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
100	Koochiching SWCD	Section 5	51/Throughout	Figure 5.1 --> Figure 5.1**.** maintain consistency with punctuation for figures/tables throughout document		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
101	Koochiching SWCD	Section 5	52	Great idea to include the links to MDH and MPCA. Consider including the year in which the data is from in Figure 5.2.		x		Y	Added year
102	Koochiching SWCD	Section 5 or Section 2	52	Consider including graphic regarding Treaty Boundaries from updated July 2026 LF WRAPS pg. 6 - "Figure 6. Tribal lands (Reservation and treaty) in the LFRW." This may fit better in Section 2 ?		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
103	BWSR	Section 5	53	Private Forest Management &Cost Share for Woodland owners - wq benefits?		x		Y	Water quality added to narrative of benefits
104	Koochiching SWCD	Section 5	54	Consider adding which governance structure/committee will ensure yearly meetings to discuss ordinances?		x		Y	Added.
105	Koochiching SWCD	Section 5	56	Remove the period at the end of the DNR water management link to ensure readers can reach the correct page (link works without the period at the end)		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
106	Koochiching SWCD	Section 5	58	Is there a specific citation from the DNR regarding landowners who shift to 50 yr. covenants? If so, include year of citation here and add to references section.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
107	BWSR	Section 5	58	SFIA: "taking away rights" seems like a negative focus. The payment is associated with private forest management and implementation of the forest management plan associated with the land.	x			Y	Revised as recommended.
108	Whitney Sims	Lake Monitoring	62-63	Incorrect photo credit given to me		x		Y	Revised credit with thanks
109	BWSR	Section 5	62	Should be monitoring, not mointering		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
110	Whitney Sims	Section 5	63	Paragraph under the photo. One sentence says "the WCA" next sentence sayd "WCA" - could be correct? Just sounds funny when you read it.		x		Y	Revised to WCA
111	BWSR	Section 5	63	Invasive species removal instead of buckthorn removal?	x			Y	Revised as recommended.
112	BWSR	Section 6	64	Could add something about Bois Forte being eligible to formally join the partnership should they decide to do so.	x			Y	Revised as recommended.
113	Koochiching SWCD	Section 6	65	Not all of the bullet points in Figure 6.2. are the same. Some are squares, some are circles--suggestion to change so all are consistent, unless there is a reason why they are different.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
114	Koochiching SWCD	Section 6	65	Remove all periods at the end of sentences or add all periods for consistency in Figure 6.2.		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
115	BWSR	Section 6	65	SC 4th bullet: grant opp not grants		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
116	BWSR	Section 6	66	Formula based funding not non-competitive (funding 3rd sentence)	x			Y	Revised as recommended.
117	BWSR	Section 6	67	Formula based funding not non-competitive (2nd paragraph, 2nd sentence)	x			Y	Revised as recommended.

#	Commentor	Section	Page	Comment	Material	Editorial	Note	Change Made (Y/N)	Comment Response/Action
118	Koochiching SWCD	Section 6	67	"The estimate for WBIF in this watershed is $613,183 per year at the time this plan was written" -- Consider clarifying the year: what "time this plan was written"… 2025? 2026?		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
119	Koochiching SWCD	Section 6	67	Add context: "319 grant" --> "**EPA** 319 **G**rant"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
120	Koochiching SWCD	Appendix B	B-3	Typo: Hunting and Recrational Land --> "**Recreational**"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
121	Whitney Sims	Appendix C	C-1	1st paragraph last sentence - it says Little Falls instead of Littlefork		x		Y	Revised to Littlefork
122	Koochiching SWCD	Full Plan	Full Plan	There are lacking transitions to introduce/connect what is coming next and improve readability of the plan for an average reader.		x		Y	Transitions added as able throughout plan
123	MDA	Full Plan	N/A	I don't have any comments or concerns at this time – it's a great plan. In addition, there is very little agriculture in the watershed, so nothing to add from MDA's perspective.			x	N	Comment noted with thanks
124	Policy Committee	Full Plan	N/A	Logo- Little Fork River Watershed Plan with sturgeon		x		Logo	Checked throughout document
125	MDH	Full Plan	N/A	Although groundwater was the primary focus of our drinking water protection input and review, we recognize the surface water protection benefits in the plan, which continue to be experienced downstream by communities that rely on managed intrastate, interstate, and international flow as a drinking water resource. Our priority concerns have been adequately addressed in the draft plan. MDH looks forward to providing continued support and technical assistance with the implementation of the plan.			x	N	Comment noted with thanks
126	Koochiching SWCD	Full Plan	N/A	In general -- BF graphics, tables and lists are better.			x	Y	Comment noted with thanks, and improvements added where recommended through these comments.
127	Koochiching SWCD	Full Plan	N/A	Ensure logos throughout are the updated "Little Fork River", currently they are the old Little Fork logos	x			Logo	Checked throughout document
128	MPCA	Full Plan	N/A	Overall, actions that address the issues identified in the MPCA Priority Concerns Letter dated July 29, 2025, have been incorporated to the Plan. The Plan is very well written, concise, and thorough. Watershed issues and goals are clearly identified, and the planning lenses help define important considerations that will continue to help guide implementation throughout the Plan's duration. This work will ultimately lead to increased protections for priority waters within the planning boundary as well as strive to improve waters not meeting water quality standards.			x	N	Comment noted with thanks
129	Koochiching SWCD	Full Plan	N/A	Logo - title should be "Little Fork River" instead of just Little Fork		x		Logo	Revised as recommended.
130	Koochiching SWCD	Acknowledgements	N/A	Misspelled name: Rhoda Adkins of MPCA should be "**Rhonda** Adkins"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
131	Koochiching SWCD	Acknowledgements	N/A	Misspelled name: Tristian Nelson of North St Louis SWCD should be "**Tristan** Nelson"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
132	Koochiching SWCD	Acknowledgements	N/A	Misspelled name: Phil Norvich of North St Louis SWCD should be "Phil **Norvitch**"		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
133	Koochiching SWCD	Acknowledgements	N/A	Remove positons and include organizations for consistency with the rest of the section: Mayor of Cook, Cook City Council ---> **City of Cook**		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
134	Koochiching SWCD	Acknowledgements	N/A	Ryan Clark is with "Carlton **SWCD**" not Carlton County		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
135	Koochiching SWCD	Acronyms	N/A	Acronym inconsistency: Minnesota DNR is just DNR when the other state agencies start with M for the acronym --> MDNR or MN DNR		x		Y	Revised as recommended.
136	Koochiching SWCD	Acronyms	N/A	Include TSA (Technical Service Area) in acronyms section		x		Y	Revised as recommended.